IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1190 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1139 OF 2006

Smt. Chandrakalabai Uttam Satdive ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri Suresh M. Sabrad for the Applicant.

Shri A.S.Shitole, A.P.P for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.

DATE : NOVEMBER 21, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P for the State. The Applicant who is a 58 years old woman has been convicted for offences punishable under section 318 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code. The maximum substantive sentence is simple imprisonment for one year. Sentences for both the offences are directed to run concurrently.

2. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. The Appeal is not likely to be heard before the period of sentence is over. Considering these aspects and facts and circumstances of the case, the Applicant

deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Hence, I pass the following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which she was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to Niphad Police Station, District Nasik once in every two calendar months till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE